Korea-Taiwan-Japan Joint Meeting for Gynecological Pathology Mini-lecture

Female Adnexal Tumor of Probable Wolffian Origin (FATWO) in Taiwan: A Small Case Series and Literature Review

Presenter: Yeh-Han Wang M.D.

Department of Anatomic Pathology, Taipei Institute of Pathology, Taiwan

## Outlines

- Introduction & Literature Review
- Case Series
  - Morphology
  - Immunohistochemical profiles
  - Genetic profiles
- Take Home Message

### Introduction: Female Adnexal Tumor of Probable Wolffian Origin (FATWO)

- Rare **extraovarian**, **extratubal** tumors firstly reported by Kariminejad and Scully in a 9-case series in 1973.
- Currently "<u>an epithelial tumor of Wolffian</u> (mesonephric) origin."
- Most of the cases are **benign** or **indolent** tumors confined within adnexa.
- Low grade malignant potential or aggressive behaviors in a minor (10%) cases
  - Recurrence / Metastasis

### Location & Gross Features

• Often in broad ligament, mesosalpinx, ovarian hilus and pelvis.



## Location & Gross Features

- Often in broad ligament, mesosalpinx, ovarian hilus and pelvis.
- Encapsulated, solid ovoid masses with nodular, or lobulated appearance.



## Three Pattern in the Original Article

- Solid or diffuse arrangement
- Closely packed, winding, branching, and anastomosing tubules
- A sieve-like pattern with hollow tubules varying in size and shape with occasional cyst formation

## Three Pattern in the Original Article



**Case Series** 

## **Case Series in Taiwan**

- An inter-institutional review
- Four cases (1 benign, 3 malignant) retrieved
- All arising from adnexa of middle to old age women (50~63 y/o)
- Size varies from <1 cm to 16 cm
- 3 malignant cases
  - Radical surgery and chemotherapy
  - One with local recurrence
  - Follow-up interval: 12~51 months
  - All alive with or without diseases

## **Case Series in Taiwan**

Case	Age	primary site	Surgery	Chemo	Interval of F/U	Current status
1	60	right fallopian tube	salpingo- oophorectomy	N	Loss of F/U	
2	50	right adnexa	Staging surgery	Y	12 months	alive
3	61	left ovary	Staging surgery	Y	42 months	alive
4	63	left ovary	Staging surgery	Y	51 months	alive, recur once

## Microscopic finding in four cases

## Case 1 Sieve-like pattern







Case 2 Solid and sievelike pattern











## Case 3 Solid and tubular pattern











![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

Case 4 Spindle cell morphology

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Summary in morphology**

- Sieve-like and tubular pattern are most characteristic.
- Solid or spindle cell morphology would cause difficulty in diagnosis
  - Try to find minor sieve-like or tubular components
- Malignant cases defined by **nuclear atypia, infiltrative growth pattern** and **frequent mitoses** 
  - <u>The nuclear grading is not so "high grade" to</u> fit in typical high grade carcinoma or sarcoma

## How to Make Differential Diagnosis?

- Gross examination
  - Primary site?
    - Ovary? Tube? Others?
- Microscopic examination
  - Diffuse pattern
  - Tubular pattern
  - Sieve-like pattern
  - Spindle cell morphology

### R't tumor

10 11 - 4185

## R't OV+tube

# How to Making Differential Diagnosis?

- Diffuse pattern
  - Un-/Poorly-differentiated carcinoma?
  - Neuroendocrine carcinoma?

#### Tubular pattern

- Endometrioid adenocarcinoma?
- Granulosa cell tumor?
- Sertoli (-leydig) cell tumor?

#### Sieve-like pattern

- Endometrioid adenocarcinoma?
- Granulosa cell tumor?
- Adenomatoid tumor/Mesothelioma?

#### Spindle cell morphology

- Adenomatoid tumor/Mesothelioma?
- Fibroma/Thecoma?
- Sarcoma? (Leiomyosarcoma? Endometrial stromal sarcoma?)

# Immunohistochemical profiles

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

### EMA

## Not performed

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

ite

## 

### Not available, also positive

3

CD 10

## Are General Markers Helpful?

Case	1	2	3	4
СК	+	+	+	+
EMA	-	-	Focal +	/
Inhibin	-	-	Weak +	-
Calretinin	+	+	-	-
<b>CD10</b>	Focal +	Focal +	+	+

#### Value of PAX-8 and SF-1 Immunohistochemistry in the Distinction Between Female Adnexal Tumor of Probable Wolffian Origin and its Mimics

Abha Goyal, M.D., Ramya P. Masand, M.D., and Andres A. Roma, M.D. Int J Gynecol Pathol Vol 35, No. 2 March 2016

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Summary in IHC profiles**

- Markers with diffuse expression
  - Pan-CK / Calretinin / Vimentin
- Markers with variable expression
  - Inhibin / Hormonal marker (ER/PR) / CD10
- Marker for differential diagnosis
  - Inhibin / Pax-8 / CD10

#### **A Possible Panel**

 Pan-CK / Calretinin / Inhibin / CD10 / Pax-8

#### Case Report Female adnexal tumor of probable wolffian origin: Morphological, immunohistochemical, and ultrastructural study with *c-kit* gene analysis

#### Oi Harada,<sup>1</sup> Hiroyoshi Ota,<sup>2</sup> Kimiyo Takagi,<sup>3</sup> Hiroyuki Matsuura,<sup>4</sup> Eiko Hidaka<sup>5</sup> and Jun Nakayama<sup>1</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Pathology, <sup>2</sup>Biomedical Laboratory Sciences and <sup>5</sup>Laboratory Medicine, Shinshu University School of Medicine, Matsumoto and Departments of <sup>3</sup>Obstetrics and Gynecology and <sup>4</sup>Laboratory Medicine, liyama Red Cross Hospital, liyama, Japan Pathology International 2006; 56:95-100

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Genetic Profiles**

- CD117 (c-kit) expression: not necessarily relating to genetic mutation on c-kit and PDGFR gene (Harada, et al. Pathology International 2006; 56: 95-100)
- NGS analysis revealing FATWO a genetically heterogeneous tumor (Cossu, et al. Int J Gynecol Pathol 2017 (Epub ahead))
  - Three cases, three pathways: CTNNB1 + MET / PIK3CA / BRAF + CDKN2A

TABLE 2. NGS-based results in the cases under investigation								
Case	Gene	Protein	Coding	Function	Type	Coverage	Allele Coverage	
1	CTNNB1	p.Ser33Cys	c.98C>G	Missense	SNV	1768	C = 1090, G = 678	
1	MET	p.Glu168Asp	c.504G>T	Missense	SNV	1986	G = 1020, T = 966	
1	KDR	p.Gln472His	c.1416A > T	Missense	SNV	1994	A = 1036, T = 958	
2	BRAF	p.Val600Glu	c.1799T>A	Missense	SNV	1495	T = 1324, A = 171	
2	CDKN2A	p.Tyr129Ter	c.387C>A	Nonsense	SNV	1999	C = 1241, A = 758	
2	<b>TP53</b>	p.Pro72Arg	c.215C > G	Missense	SNV	1361	C = 74, G = 1287	
2	KDR	p.Gln472His	c.1416A > T	Missense	SNV	1055	A = 991, T = 64	
3	PIK3CA	p.Ile391Met	c.1173A>G	Missense	SNV	1726	A = 723, G = 1003	
3	KDR	p.Gln472His	c.1416A > T	Missense	SNV	1994	A = 971, T = 1023	

Functionally unknown sequence variants are italicized.

NGS indicates next-generation sequencing; SNV, single-nucleotide variations.

## Take Home Message

- FATWOs are rare and possibly misdiagnosed.
  - Identification of characteristic **Sieve-like** and **tubular** pattern would be helpful in diagnosis
- Using an IHC panel would be also helpful
  Pan-CK / Calretinin / Inhibin / CD10 / Pax-8
- Heterogeneity in genetics
- No histological criterion predicting clinical behavior, and no standard treatment medication

## Acknowledgement

- Dr. Chiung-Ru Lai (Taipei Veterans General Hospital)
- Dr. Tsui-Lien Mao (National Taiwan University Hospital)
- Dr. Yee-Jee Jan and Dr. Chih-Ying Wu (Taichung Veteran General Hospital)
- Dr. Wan-Lin Shen and Dr. Sheng-Tsung Chang (Chi-Mei Hospital).

# Thank you for your attention